

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandra, Vignata 22313-1450
www.uspto.gcv.

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/883,373 06/19/2001		06/19/2001	Eiji Hasegawa	NEC01P075-AMb	1068
466	7590	09/25/2003			
YOUNG & THOMPSON				EXAMINER	
	H 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ON, VA 22202			BEREZNY, NEAL	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2823	

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/883,373	HASEGAWA, EIJI				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Neal Berezny	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 25 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE see on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP (36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the company of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit. b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>see Advisory Action</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/883,373

Art Unit: 2823

ADVISORY ACTION

Page 2

1. The request for reconsideration has been entered and considered but does not overcome the rejection because applicant's arguments are not convincing and do not place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant argues that Houlihan fails to disclose nitriding the surface of an oxide and injecting fluorine in addition to injecting oxygen ions. Applicant's attention is directed to col.4, In.42 to 59, which teaches an embodiment in which nitrogen is implanted into or on the surface of an oxide layer. In regard to Applicant's second point, Applicant does not claim injecting both fluorine and oxygen ions in together. See also col.4 In.35-41.

2. Applicant makes various other arguments against the other references cited based on the alleged deficiencies in Houlihan. These arguments are most because the Houlihan reference is not held to be defective.

CONCLUSION

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neal Berezny whose telephone number is (703) 305-1481. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,373

Art Unit: 2823

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

NB

September 17, 2003

OH chile_

Page 3